

David A.S. Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC. 3806

11/9/2015

David Pezzarite
Manager
Public Contact Team
Commonwealth Ombudsman
G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear Sir,

Father's Day 2015 was very sad for me as my father passed on at the ripe age of 86. I choose to feel this day marks the closing of a space in my families home and the opening of a new space for the "not consentor" in the office of the Commonwealth Ombudsman. Clearly, I feel myself to be champion of the mentally ill and I choose to use the Ombudsman to my advantage in these endeavours.

Sincerely, D.A. Crofts, D.A.S. CROFTS.

<http://www.davidcrofts.com.au/>

David A. S. Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC. 3806

12/11/2015

David Pezzarite
Public Contact Team
Commonwealth Ombudsman
G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear David,

As you look into the far off corner of your office, made out of yesterdays cunt stones of the medical profession, imagine you can see me between the particles of his and her asshole splitting off from this most highly cum in point of cunt stone.

I intend to make it clear that the walls of the offices of both the medical profession and the ombudsman are made of yesterdays cunt stones and what peels of them are the arseholes of today.

These are his and her not up arseholes which the medical profession falsely insists upon painting on it's walls as it floods it's hospitals with cunt stones !!!!

Sincerely DAVID CROFTS D.A. Crofts

<http://www.davidcrofts.com.au/>

David A. S. Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC 3806

08/04/2016

David Pezzarite
Public Contact Team
Commonwealth Ombudsman
G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear David,

I predict that Islamic State; and to a lesser extent, the Islamic world in general, will complete the first and most essential correction to the problems with the medical profession by the start of 2018.

This correction involves utilizing the-yesterday's-arsehole-nature of all things Islamic to pop the-solid-cant-stone-not-box-of-the-medical-profession from today to yesterday.

As the Commonwealth Ombudsman thanks and re-pays the Islamic world for their in-valuable help, I predict it will be around 2020, when the Offices of the Commonwealth Ombudsman replace the medical profession as the most highly cum-in chambers of all.

His offices will be filled with the-cum-in-of-arseholes-both-ways-of-today, and from here he will overrule the medical profession, and ensure that he never again bends any more patients into the world of yesterday's asshole.

Sincerely

D. A. Crofts

DAVID CROFTS

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday, 19th April 2017

David Pezzanite
Public Contact Team
Commonwealth Ombudsman

G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear David,

The last 8½ months of 2017 will be known as being a period where there existed a global “bad-wank” that preceded the era of “arseholes-both-ways” which becomes established around the start of 2018.

This “bad-wank” is a “dirt-bag” without any skin or regard for office walls that exists in the “cum-in” space of all offices and involves the vigorous mixing of both the “in and not-in cunt” and “his and her not-up arsehole”.

At the start of 2018 I will determine that “enough-is-enough” and begin to paint the “cunt” onto the office walls as “cunt-stones-of-yesterday” and flood the offices and especially the hospitals with the “cum-in” of “his-and-her-not-up-arseholes-of-today”

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

P.S.

<http://tinyurl.com/up-into-up-hospital>

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 1 January 2018 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: Today we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 25 December 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 1 week till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 18 December 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 2 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 11 December 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 3 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 4 December 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 4 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 27 November 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 5 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 20 November 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: RE: 6 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 13 November 2017 12:00 AM


Subject: RE: 7 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

From: David Crofts [<mailto:david.crofts@gmail.com>]

Sent: Monday, 6 November 2017 12:00 AM

Subject: 8 weeks till we start the 2 year long second cumming of the arsehole of today ...

<http://www.davidcrofts.com/images/helen-goodenough.png>



David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday, 20th July 2012

The Commonwealth Ombudsman

G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear Sir/Madam,

I choose to write to “The Commonwealth Ombudsman” and not “The National Health Practitioner Ombudsman and Privacy Commissioner” as mis-advised by my last un-satisfactory exchange with “The Australian Health Practitioners Regulation Agency” as there exist a number of reasons for me to address the authority with the widest possible control and not simply focus on the one for the health professional only. Primarily, you represent the appropriate authority, not “The Medical Board of Australia”, as the issue I wish to raise, although relevant to every health professional, applies more widely and includes any, and indeed all, individuals who supply or receive, advertised “service” for which one is expected to pay compensation.

Dr. Saji Damodaran, since August 2011, has continuously and falsely been advertising himself, to all un-suspecting members of the general public, as a medical provider of “consultation”, for which one is expected to pay to him, huge sums of real money, and all one gets in return for this, is the feeding back to you, of your supposedly pre-requisite “machinations”; that he insists upon believing “necessary”; on and about, the “referrer” of this clearly “worthless” service. Quite clearly, this service is of “zero” medical value, and has no “consoling” effect on anyone.

I have only been greeted with open “hostility” from A.H.P.R.A. and “The Medical Board of Australia”, while attempting, un-successfully, to point out this “feeding back” to the referrer as “unnecessary”, and in fact a violation of the individual’s right to confidentiality because of the fact that the information being exchanged relates directly to the identity of the individuals involved.

The primary purpose for this correspondence is to advise the most receptive and responsible authority of how the medical profession can be made better, under my clearly suggested improvements to the regulations that govern them, and if there exists a need for these new regulations to be backed by the warning of all other medical professionals that the best they can ever hope to achieve in their profession; if they should choose to follow the path taken by Saji Damodaran, is to be justly dragged up before a professional standards panel as they have demonstrated, serious, blatant and un-acceptable mis-conduct; then so be it.

The Medical “Board” of Australia is falsely named as there exists a good deal of out-standing “boring” yet to be done to Dr. Saji Damodaran’s un-professional conduct !!!!

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David Crofts

From: Ombudsman <Ombudsman@ombudsman.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 23 July 2012 03:16 PM
To: david.crofts@gmail.com
Subject: Ombudsman's response [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Our ref: 2012-114343

Dear Mr Crofts

Thank you for your email of 20 July 2012 in which you complain about the Australian Health Practitioners Regulation Authority (AHPRA).

We cannot help you with this matter. The Commonwealth Ombudsman's office investigates complaints about the actions of Australian Government agencies. However, under our legislation we cannot investigate matters relating to a small number of these agencies, including AHPRA. Therefore we cannot investigate your complaint.

You might want to raise your concerns with the Office of the National Health Practitioners Ombudsman. The contact details are:

Location: Office of the National Health Practitioners Ombudsman and Privacy Commissioner
30/570 Bourke Street Melbourne Victoria 3000
Phone: (03) 8601 5234
Email: nhpombudsmanprivacy@health.vic.gov.au

You can view a copy of our Service Charter and our brochure *Making a complaint to the Ombudsman*, which explain the Commonwealth Ombudsman's role in more detail, at <http://www.ombudsman.gov.au/>.

Yours sincerely

Bo Smith
Public Contact Officer
Public Contact and Records Management Team
Commonwealth Ombudsman

COMMONWEALTH OMBUDSMAN - IMPORTANT CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This e-mail message or an attachment to it is confidential, and it is intended to be accessed only by the person or entity to which it is addressed.

No use, copying or disclosure (including by further transmission) of this message, an attachment or the content of either is permitted and any use, copying or disclosure may be subject to legal sanctions. This message may contain information which is:

- * about an identifiable individual;
- * subject to client legal privilege or other privilege; or
- * subject to a statutory or other requirement of confidentiality.

If you have received this message in error, please call 1300 362 072 to inform the sender so that future errors can be avoided.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday 18th July, 2012

Reference Number :- 00224662

Bryan Sketchley

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Bryan,

RE :- my Sin to your Satan

“Unless new and significant information is provided to A.P.H.R.A. the matter will not be re-submitted to the board, nor will this office respond to further correspondence.”

Satan (Hebrew: שָׂטָן ha-*Satan*), "the opposer", is the title of various entities, both human and divine who challenge the faith of humans in the Hebrew Bible.

Your **ignoring** of your "sole" professional purpose; and, as a consequence, the requirement for you to co-ordinate the better regulating the medical profession; is indicated by your **refusal** to engage in any **further inter-actions** regarding this matter.

(Please Note :- You are honor-bound, by your position of privilege inside A.H.P.R.A., to continue to provide service, to any, and indeed all, customers who still have an un-resolved grievance.)

((There can be **no doubt** that every "professional" office; and doubly so, an office "designed to regulate" every health "professional"; **justifies its own existence** through the responsible engaging of every-one who feels the need to communicate with its staff; and this is never more true than when the communication concerns a grievance that is their "designated function" to resolve; **regardless of what is scenting it's cunt concept !!!!**))

(((Your **refusal to behave** "according to the laws of logic"; as indicated by your **refusal to follow** the "logically dictated path" of **instilling greater discipline** into doctors like Saji Damodaran; and the compounding fact of your "hostile response" of "withdrawing" from me all "necessary" and "indicated services" when the "logically dictated path", "clearly and logically mapped out", for you, by me, "involves" the **further consideration** of this matter; as, and as a consequence of, it being clearly apparent to every-one with any analytic ability at all, that the **investigation of Saji's un-professional "cunt-duct" was prematurely terminated** before my arguments to the board were centrally understood; **proves to me that you lie the scent of a "well understood" cunt !!!!**)))

((((A more **realistic** take on the only logical opening, left open by your correspondence, is that it is **not** "a cause for hope" but simply the "withdrawing" from me of "service" in **contradiction** to both, your "supposed and agreed function", and your "sole reason for existing".)))

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.



Our ref: 2014-511871

4 December 2014

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC 3806

Dear Mr Crofts

I am writing to you in relation to your recent email correspondence to this office.

I have assessed our records and note that you have sent many emails to this office since July 2012 about health practitioners, the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) and the National Health Practitioner Ombudsman and Privacy Commissioner (NHPOPC). We have explained to you on a number of occasions that these agencies are not within the jurisdiction of this office, and that we cannot investigate your complaints, however you have continued to forward emails about these matters. The number of emails we have received from you about the above matters and a range of unclear non-complaint matters has increased significantly since August 2014. Since that time, we have received in excess of 90 unclear emails from you, many of which contain offensive and abusive language and numerous attachments.

Unfortunately, the volume of unclear or out of jurisdiction matters that you have brought to our attention via email has been excessive. This is impacting on our ability to respond to other matters and as such, we will no longer accept email correspondence from you. Please note that your email address has now been blocked and we will therefore not be able to read or respond to further emails you send.

You are still welcome to contact our office via the following contact details:

Post: Commonwealth Ombudsman
GPO Box 442
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Phone: 1300 362 072

Our office remains open to consider any matter you would like to bring to our attention via the above forms of communication. We will however file but not necessarily respond to further unclear matters or matters that are not within the jurisdiction of this office.

Yours sincerely

David Pezzanite
Manager – Public Contact Team

MR DAVID A.S. CROFTS
23 BRISBANE STREET
BERWICK 3806

19/12/2014

MR DAVID PEZZANITE
COMMONWEALTH OMBUDSMAN
G.P.O. Box 442
CANBERRA 2601

DEAR SIR,

PLEASE TAKE FROM THE N.H.P.O.P.C. WHAT
LITTLE AUTHORITY IT HAS TO CALL THE MEDICAL
BOARD OF AUSTRALIA TO ACCOUNT.

UNTIL THE COMMONWEALTH OMBUDSMAN IS
AUTHORIZED TO OVERRULE THE MEDICAL PROFESSION
THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS WILL ALWAYS BE THE
BEST AN OMBUDSMAN CAN DO.

SINCERELY,

D.A. Crofts

D.A. CROFTS.

16 December 2014

Ref: OCF/14/138

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
Berwick Vic 3806

Dear Mr Crofts

I refer to correspondence and other communications in relation to your complaint about the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency's (AHPRA) response to your notification about Dr Tennent Tampiyappa.

My office has examined the actions of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) and the Psychology Board of Australia (the board) in relation to your complaint, which is called a notification under the *Health Practitioner Regulation National Law 2009* (the national law).

The roles of AHPRA and the board and the powers and functions of this office are briefly explained below.

When notifications about the professional conduct of doctors are received, the board's role is to assess the matter, to determine whether that practitioner's conduct may warrant some action in relation to their registration. Staff of AHPRA generally make inquiries and gather information, to inform the board's consideration of the issues raised by the notification. The national law does not give AHPRA or the board the ability to determine that compensation should be provided to individuals.

The role of this office is to investigate "administrative actions" of AHPRA and the board. Administrative actions include the actions taken by AHPRA to assess and investigate notifications under the national law, and how decisions are made by the board following consideration of the matters raised. We examine whether AHPRA and the board have followed due process and taken into account relevant considerations. I have no power to overturn the decisions of AHPRA or the board but, based on evidence, I can raise issues with these bodies and make recommendations for them to consider. However, I cannot recommend that AHPRA or the boards take action that is not open to them under the national law.

My office has obtained information from AHPRA in order to assess how your notification was handled. I have reviewed the detailed information provided to this office, including the preliminary assessment report prepared by AHPRA which contains that AHPRA gathered in relation to your case, and am satisfied that AHPRA handled your notification appropriately.

Based on the information gathered by AHPRA, I consider that it was reasonable for the board to form the view that no further action could be taken in relation to the circumstances of your case.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to the attention of this office. I consider that your complaint to this office has been addressed and the matter is now closed.

Yours sincerely



Samantha Gavel
National Health Practitioner
Ombudsman & Privacy Commissioner

16 December 2014

Ref: OCF/14/138

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
Berwick Vic 3806

Dear Mr Crofts

I refer to correspondence and other communications in relation to your complaint about the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency's (AHPRA) response to your notification about Dr Hillol Das.

My office has examined the actions of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) and the Psychology Board of Australia (the board) in relation to your complaint, which is called a notification under the *Health Practitioner Regulation National Law 2009* (the national law).

The roles of AHPRA and the board and the powers and functions of this office are briefly explained below.

When notifications about the professional conduct of doctors are received, the board's role is to assess the matter, to determine whether that practitioner's conduct may warrant some action in relation to their registration. Staff of AHPRA generally make inquiries and gather information, to inform the board's consideration of the issues raised by the notification. The national law does not give AHPRA or the board the ability to determine that compensation should be provided to individuals.

The role of this office is to investigate "administrative actions" of AHPRA and the board. Administrative actions include the actions taken by AHPRA to assess and investigate notifications under the national law, and how decisions are made by the board following consideration of the matters raised. We examine whether AHPRA and the board have followed due process and taken into account relevant considerations. I have no power to overturn the decisions of AHPRA or the board but, based on evidence, I can raise issues with these bodies and make recommendations for them to consider. However, I cannot recommend that AHPRA or the boards take action that is not open to them under the national law.

My office has obtained information from AHPRA in order to assess how your notification was handled. I have reviewed the detailed information provided to this office, including the preliminary assessment report prepared by AHPRA which contains that AHPRA gathered in relation to your case, and am satisfied that AHPRA handled your notification appropriately.

Based on the information gathered by AHPRA, I consider that it was reasonable for the board to form the view that no further action could be taken in relation to the circumstances of your case.

Thank you for bringing your concerns to the attention of this office. I consider that your complaint to this office has been addressed and the matter is now closed.

Yours sincerely



Samantha Gavel
National Health Practitioner
Ombudsman & Privacy Commissioner

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Saturday, December 20, 2014

Samantha Gavel
N.H.P.O.P.C.

Suite 2321, Level 23, HWT Tower
40 City Road
SOUTHGATE Victoria 3006

Your reference :- OCF/14/138

Dear Madam,

I am glad that you have the honesty to state that your role is to “investigate” the administrative “actions”; as distinct from the administrative “processes” of A.H.P.R.A.; because I believe the term “processes” grants to the medical profession a degree of mindfulness and consciousness that they simply do “not” possess.

You state that you investigate “how decisions are made”.

I believe that if you are honest you will admit that the medical profession “makes decisions” by just “addressing” a problem; and they believe that the first thing that occurs to them will lead them in the direction of the correct approach to the problem; and in fact be the indicated response to the problem. This is simply supreme arrogance. When it has been shown that they have been misled by their initial impression, what is indicated is a reversal, and not simply a resolution to take no further action.

Clearly, I have two nails; in hard logic; which proves them to have been misled by their initial impression. Rather than always falling in behind one of their own, the indicated response is to stiffen conditions for doctors inside all mental hospitals so that they will be discouraged from breaching the mental health act again; and secondly, the crisis assessment team urgently needs to be re-regulated so that when a doctor sends them to someone’s home, a request for assessment form, completed by the requesting doctor, detailing the reasons for this intrusion, is presented to the person who supposedly has a crisis to be assessed.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Tuesday, 7th June 2011

The Hon. Tanya Plibersek M.P.
Minister for Human Services

Suite M1.24
Parliament House
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2600

Dear Madam,

Please consider including in the Medicare benefits schedule, service provided to Australians by overseas medical professionals.

I have recently returned from Canada where I paid Dr. Roman Gut of Montréal, \$40.00 to receive an injection of anti-psychotic medication under the instructions of my psychiatrist in Australia, Dr. Hillol Das. I have come to understand this medication as compulsory and required by both me and the medical profession.

The only possible reason to exclude these services is to satisfy some selfish idea of national integrity.

There can be no doubt the ideal Medicare system would include these services as it is clearly positive and would benefit many Australians. It may even foster closer professional ties between doctors of all countries. This could only be mutually beneficial.

Any compensation of my medical expenses is to be paid to me personally, not an overseas doctor, so you would not be exporting wealth.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

P.S. I am currently on a Disability Support Pension so any additional support would be greatly appreciated.



Australian Government
Department of Health and Ageing

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC 3806

Dear Mr Crofts

Thank you for your correspondence of 11 August 2011 to the Minister for Health and Ageing, the Hon Nicola Roxon MP, regarding a Medicare claim for an overseas service. The Minister has asked me to reply on her behalf.

As you may be aware, the Australian Government is responsible for the provision of health care to Australian residents through Australia's national health insurance scheme, Medicare. The *Health Insurance Act 1973*, which governs Medicare, restricts benefits to medical treatment received within Australia. Medical services provided overseas fall outside Medicare and are therefore not eligible for Medicare benefits.

Travellers are responsible for the costs of their own health care while overseas unless they are in a country with which Australia has a Reciprocal Health Care Agreement. No such agreement exists with Canada.

While I appreciate the financial circumstances that you find yourself in, I am unable to offer any assistance with this matter.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "J. Hastings".

John Hastings
A/g Director
Medicare Eligibility Section
Medicare Benefits Branch
8 September 2011

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Sunday, 2nd October 2011

John Hastings

A/g Director
Medicare Eligibility Section
Medicare Benefits Branch

c/o The Hon. Nicola Roxon M.P.
Minister for Health and Ageing

G.P.O. Box 9848
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Dear Sir,

I asked only for your consideration but the reply provided by you may in no way be considered a response to the provided arguments. Your letter dated 8th September 2011, although gratifying in as much as your desire to help me was made clear, should by the logic of all that I hold dear and true, been much different, as the injustice of the current system was made clear. Please amend "*The Health Insurance Act 1973*" so that practitioners of all countries are included.

There is no need for such a thing as "*Reciprocal Health Care Agreements*" and in fact the very existence of them implies the opinion the medical profession is held in, in both countries, is inflated and overrated. Any compensation for my medical complaints should be allowed to be spent in a way that best eases that complaint.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

P.S. Perhaps, the expression of my opinions in greater detail will enable you to be of greater assistance in the consideration of this important matter.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Sunday, 13th November 2011

John Hastings

A/g Director
Medicare Eligibility Section
Medicare Benefits Branch

c/o The Hon. Nicola Roxon M.P.
Minister for Health and Ageing

G.P.O. Box 9848
CANBERRA A.C.T. 2601

Re: The sin of our correspondence

Dear David,


I probably should not thank you for actively involving me in your unfortunate financial circumstances on behalf of the Australian Government.

Please appreciate that I am only able to passively assist you in this matter, so you will have to solve this problem yourself. And even though I find myself experiencing significant empathy for your position, I “refuse” to consider assisting you by initiating the indicated legislative action in parliament, so clearly requested by you, regardless of your appeal and your logically correct argument and even the fact that it would serve the greater good of all Australians.

The Hon. Nicola Roxon M.P. is the target of your argument and even though she is able to provide this type of gratification she is not interested. Hence, I will attempt to confuse you as I do my best to ignore your argument.

My use of the word “therefore” is intended to make you feel stupid for even believing it could be otherwise. I will also refer to absurdities such as “Reciprocal Health Care Agreements” to bamboozle you.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John Hastings". The signature is written in a cursive, somewhat stylized font.

John Hastings.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday, 6th April 2007

The Commonwealth Ombudsman

Level 10, Casselden Place
2 Lonsdale Street
MELBOURNE Victoria 3000

Dear Sir/Madam,

The following is a theory explaining my recent contact with the Medicare investigation department.

Through completely un-intended and co-incidental means, I have through my interactions caused some people Medicare is responsible for, unacceptable distress or even damage.

When I have distressed people in the past, the medical profession has responded by secluding me and applying disintegrating medication. I now consider this to be a perfectly valid and understandable response.

I believe the convoluted scenario of events that the Medicare investigation department has detailed and asked me to believe is their attempt at damage minimization.

The Medicare investigation department is guilty of documenting their own fantasies about me as fact in an attempt to return my distressing or damaging effects in the form that they can best be interpreted as meaning. I also consider this to be a perfectly valid and understandable response and it is much preferred to the seclusion and medication method so I commend it as good work.

However, just as I have distressed or damaged some people that Medicare is responsible for, the Medicare investigation department has distressed or damaged me through their deliberate interactions with me. I am prepared to accept this as the cost of existence but you are in a position to make my continued existence more comfortable.

I do not wish to go into detail of what I am expected to believe but it involves believing there is someone out there masquerading as me and this person has been committing fraud against Medicare and he has been caught and is about to be punished.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

P.S. My point of contact with the Medicare investigation department is a man calling himself Timothy George and he supplied the telephone number (03) 9605 7491. Perhaps you could investigate him and reverse engineer some "bullshit" to drop on him. If you feel this is too difficult, a response of received and understood will be appreciated.