

David Crofts

From: David Crofts <david.crofts@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 3 September 2018 04:30 PM
To: ombudvic@ombudsman.vic.gov.au
Cc: daniel.andrews@parliament.vic.gov.au; martin.foley@parliament.vic.gov.au
Subject: FW: Your complaint about the Mental Health Complaints Commissioner
Attachments: 2018.01.22_EMAIL.pdf; 2017.04.18_IDEAL_SOLUTION.pdf

Flag Status: Flagged

I have asked that you perform a service that is part of your dedicated function and if you continue to refuse to comply all future actions that you perform will be made in the light that you are only pretending to be a force for greater justice and the legislation that establishes your office is just a bad joke.

From: David Crofts <david.crofts@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, 14 July 2018 07:23 PM
To: ombudvic@ombudsman.vic.gov.au
Subject: RE: Your complaint about the Mental Health Complaints Commissioner

ATTN :- Dr Cassandra Mertono

Please note the attached documents in which you claim that it is not the role of your office to instruct an agency how to perform it's dedicated function.

While I must strictly accept this as true, in order to correct the wrong doing of an agency, which I am sure you will agree is your dedicated function, you must be primarily acting in accordance to what you understand the correct functioning of the agency in question actually is.

((((even if you leave it up to the agency in question to deduce what their correct functioning actually is.))))

I think that it is quite clear that when you correct the error of an agency you are in fact undoing their mistake and therefore acting in accordance to what you understand their correct dedicated function actually to be.

If it would make it easier for you to comply, please tell me why my suggested correction to what the MHCC have done does not give you all the information you need in order to correct the wrong doing of this agency.

<http://www.davidcrofts.com.au/my-inspired-documents/my-mental-health-act/2017>

If your analysis of all the correspondence leads you to conclude that there exists no wrong doing by the MHCC please provide me with an itemised list of the objective reasons requested and an explanation for each one of these as to why they are objective according to your understanding.

P.S.

Your statement that it is not the role of your office to instruct agencies how to perform their dedicated function is an opinion that is rooted in stupidity as there exists many times while attempting to perform their dedicated function the agency seriously offends against the law even if it is just the law of common sense.

When this occurs you would actually be performing a valuable service by instructing the agency how to correctly perform it's dedicated function.

It is clearly an act of stupidity to take the responsibility of performing this act of correction away from the wrong doer and into the hands of the ombudsman alone.

P.P.S.

As you entertain complaints you are clearly offering correction of wrong doing.

If you did not offer correction of wrong doing all complaints would simply be a hopeless exercise in futility.