

Search Inbox

Compose Message

Inbox

Sent

Message detail

Archived

Trash

LinkedIn Premium

Did you know?

Unlock the full list of Who's Viewed Your Profile with LinkedIn Premium

Upgrade

Sent Messages Sent Invitations

Reply All Forward Archive Delete

RE: FW: Join my network on LinkedIn

David Crofts - Information Service Provider

To: 2 recipients: Saji Damodaran, David Crofts

Date: January 6, 2013

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2013.01.07_000_dr_s_damodaran_pty_ltd.png

On 01/06/13 10:06 PM, David Crofts wrote:

Hello Saji &&

And every-one else I can reach inside LinkedIn, outside of his network of zero connections.

This email directly "addresses" Dr. Saji Damodaran, and is primarily intended for his consumption !!!!

"PROOF" of his insanity is found when one "understands" the provided analysis below :-

(1) :-

He "appears" to have ZERO connections on LinkedIn !!!!

(2) :-

He "appears" to have "forbidden" access to his LinkedIn contact information, judging by how his LinkedIn profile is displayed to the dis-connected general public !!!!

(3) :-

He "appears" to have "deliberately" "directed" his hand toward "LinkedIn" !!!!

(4) :-

One must considers his "actions" toward LinkedIn an expression of his desire to exclude from "LINKAGE" anyone who is on the "extreme" OUTSIDE of his network of "ZERO" connections. And not only "them", but even "those" "professionals" who are on the INSIDE of THE LinkedIn "professional" social network but have not paid LinkedIn for a subscription to "IN-MAIL". He appears to be "in-sanelly" conflicted between his supposedly professionally indicated requirements, as a holder of medical qualifications which enable him to practice as a psychiatric "consultant"; and his desire to "exclude" from his medical practice, the "need" to serve those who are trying to get out of the "patient" position. As a registered medical professional his "refusal" to provide a little "direction" that is not pointed straight "DOWN" (at health) through "HIM" "Linking" "UP" with his patients, in a professional capacity, proves that not only is he a bad psychiatrist, but that he is an in-sane one, and what's more, in need of enforced correction due to his demonstrated non-compliance !!!!

I invite him to argue this with me on LinkedIn.

David Crofts.

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/dr_h_das_pty_ltd/dr_h_das_pty_ltd.png

On 12/27/12 2:05 PM, David Crofts wrote:

PLEASE TAKE THIS DOSE OF SAJI CRAP CRANALLY !!!!
=====

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_000_dr_h_das_pty_ltd.jpg

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_000_Damodaran.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_000_true_local.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_001.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_002.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_003.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_004.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_005.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_006.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_007.pdf

Tech Services Provider?



Learn More »

Service tickets better with Autotask! Turn more of your time into money.

VIC Who's Who Directory



Learn More »

Apply now to appear in The VIC Directory of Who's Who, It's Free!

Property Investing Mentor



Learn More »

Make Profit Or Your Money Back Guaranteed Free Local Event - Register Here

Ads



https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_010.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_011.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_012.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_013.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_014.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_015.pdf

https://dl.dropbox.com/u/43808378/The_Whole/saji_damodaran/saji_crap_2012.12.28_016.pdf

On 09/12/12 6:10 PM, David Crofts wrote:

David A. S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Sunday, 18th March 2012

Associate Professor Saji Damodaran

Delmont Private Hospital Consulting Suites
314 Warrigal Road
GLEN IRIS Victoria 3146

Dear Saji,

Re :-

My expression of the sin of :-

Helen Patrica Goodenough

17 Bellbird Avenue
HARKAWAY 3806

Monday, 25th February 2008

I have found that other so called experts use the term "schizo-effective/bi-polar" to label my peculiar "bizarre" condition.

Please inform me if you have knowledge of a female psychiatrist with a knob.

She should know just how natural I felt it to identify with the criminal individuals of my childhood. She must be prepared to keep secret any crime that I may admit too.

I seek a capable counselor to provide discrete service at regular intervals geared toward my defense and my support.

Please provide me with the item cost involved and the location of the service provider when you make your offer. Being male is not a disqualifying factor.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts on the be-half of Helen Goodenough.

On 09/12/12 5:47 PM, David Crofts wrote:

Invitation to connect on LinkedIn.

David Crofts

P.S. As I note that in the world of "professional" social networking you have zero connections, you have a pressing desparate need to LinkedIn-ing-ed up. I detect a deliberate hand at work by you toward LinkedIn as Melbourne & Health are accurate.

.....
[Reply All](#)



Chiropractic
Dental
Medical
Nursing and Midwifery
Optometry

Osteopathy
Pharmacy
Physiotherapy
Podiatry
Psychology

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency

2 July 2012

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK VIC 3806
Private and Confidential

Dear Mr Crofts

I refer to your letter dated 6 June 2012 that was received by the Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency (AHPRA) on 12 June 2012. I note also your subsequent emails of the 6, 14 and 19 June highlighting your dissatisfaction with the decision of the Medical Board of Australia (the Board) with respect to your notification about Dr Saji Damodaran.

I acknowledge your dissatisfaction with the decision of the Board however the matter was carefully considered by the Board, and in this instance the Board has decided to take no further action.

I note that a number of the matters you have raised in your correspondence have been previously addressed by the Health Services Commissioner.

Only health practitioners who are the subject of appellable decisions as defined in Section 199 of the Health Practitioner Regulation National Law as in force in each state and territory (the National Law) can appeal against a decision made under the National Law. The decision of the Board in respect of your notification was not an appellable decision under the National Law. Whilst a complaint can be made to the National Health Practitioner Ombudsman and Privacy Commissioner, the Ombudsman cannot overturn a decision of the Board.

However, in exceptional circumstances the Board may reconsider a decision if new material of a persuasive nature that goes to the conduct of the practitioner is presented. I note you did not include any new information that would meet this requirement in your further correspondence to date. Unless new and significant information is provided to AHPRA the matter will not be resubmitted to the Board, nor will this office respond to further correspondence.

The National Health Practitioners Ombudsman

The National Law establishes the National Health Practitioners Ombudsman (the Ombudsman) and applies the Commonwealth Ombudsman Act 1976 (the Ombudsman Act), as amended by the Health Practitioner Regulation National Law Regulation 2010 (Vic). The Ombudsman exists to undertake the functions of the Commonwealth Ombudsman under the Ombudsman Act.

Complaints can be made directly to the Ombudsman if you have concerns with the handling of the notification, but the Ombudsman cannot overturn a decision of the Board. The Ombudsman may conduct an independent review of the notification and its management.

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency

G.P.O. Box 9958 | Melbourne VIC 3001 | www.ahpra.gov.au



Chiropractic
Dental
Medical
Nursing and Midwifery
Optometry

Osteopathy
Pharmacy
Physiotherapy
Podiatry
Psychology

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency

The contact details for the Office of the National Health Practitioners Ombudsman are:

Address: 30/570 Bourke Street, Melbourne, 3000
Telephone: 03 86015234
Facsimile: 03 86015895
Email: nhpombudsmanprivacy@health.vic.gov.au

An AHPRA officer from the Notifications Department can be contacted on 8708 9284 should you need to discuss the review process.

Yours sincerely

Bryan Sketchley
Senior FOI, Privacy and Complaints Officer
Victoria and National Offices

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday 4th July, 2012

Reference Number :- 00224662

Bryan Sketchley

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Sir,

RE: The failure to provide a response to me on 2 July 2012.

Your letter is little more than a simple refusal to comply with the simple logical requirements that those who consider themselves human enjoy.

If I am to progress in a logical way, I must use the only opening left open by your document :-

“Unless new and significant information is provided to APHRA the matter will not be resubmitted to the board, nor will this office respond to further correspondence.”

This statement is offering the hope of a response and re-submission without having to re-excrete my entire argument.

However, one must ignore the clear intent to frustrate that the writer is clearly demonstrating and understand “new and significant” as “on another date” and “information” as “the informing of a question, inside your tiny mind”.

Why will you not let me help you help me but instead demand I accept what you consider to be in my interests?

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

P.S.

Please refer to my previous emails of 9 and 27 June.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Sunday 8th July, 2012

Reference Number :- 00224662

Bryan Sketchley

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Sir,

RE: My response to the sin of our correspondence.

If your "*failure to obey*" the "*laws of logic*" as I understand them, was the "*cost of existence*", I would "*happily endure*" you "*without a fight*".

I would therefore have "*happily*" taken the "*gay*" option (of "comfort" from the Ombudsman) in the face of your "illegal" "*wanking up*" :- **if you had not so "*damaged my abilities for any and indeed all female interaction*"**.

The illegal "*wanking up*" performed by your document is clearly shown by its "structure", as your "reaction" to the "*outing*" of my "*dissapointer*" was the "*noting*" of my "*dissatisfaction*".

Clearly, you are always going to lose (2 my woman) as you are simply "*wanking up*".

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

P.S.

You can shove this new and sniffy cunt information up your arse !!!!

Please refer to my previous emails of 9 and 27 June.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday 18th July, 2012

Reference Number :- 00224662

Bryan Sketchley

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Bryan,

RE :- my Sin to your Satan

“Unless new and significant information is provided to A.P.H.R.A. the matter will not be re-submitted to the board, nor will this office respond to further correspondence.”

Satan (Hebrew: שָׂטָן ha-*Satan*), "the opposer", is the title of various entities, both human and divine who challenge the faith of humans in the Hebrew Bible.

Your **ignoring** of your “sole” professional purpose; and, as a consequence, the requirement for you to co-ordinate the better regulating the medical profession; is indicated by your **refusal** to engage in any **further inter-actions** regarding this matter.

(Please Note :- You are honor-bound, by your position of privilege inside A.H.P.R.A., to continue to provide service, to any, and indeed all, customers who still have an un-resolved grievance.)

((There can be **no doubt** that every “professional” office; and doubly so, an office “designed to regulate” every health “professional”; **justifies its own existence** through the responsible engaging of every-one who feels the need to communicate with its staff; and this is never more true than when the communication concerns a grievance that is their “designated function” to resolve; **regardless of what is scenting it's cunt concept !!!!**))

(((Your **refusal to behave** “according to the laws of logic”; as indicated by your **refusal to follow** the “logically dictated path” of **instilling greater discipline** into doctors like Saji Damodaran; and the compounding fact of your “hostile response” of “withdrawing” from me all “necessary” and “indicated services” when the “logically dictated path”, “clearly and logically mapped out”, for you, by me, “involves” the **further consideration** of this matter; as, and as a consequence of, it being clearly apparent to every-one with any analytic ability at all, that the **investigation of Saji's un-professional “cunt-duct” was prematurely terminated** before my arguments to the board were centrally understood; **proves to me that you lie the scent of a “well understood” cunt !!!!**)))

((((A more **realistic** take on the only logical opening, left open by your correspondence, is that it is **not “a cause for hope”** but simply the “withdrawing” from me of “service” in **contradiction** to both, your “supposed and agreed function”, and your “sole reason for existing”.)))

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday 6th June, 2012

Your Reference Number :- 00224662

Veronika Urh

The Manager
Department of Notifications

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Madam,

RE: Assessment of Notification about Dr. Saji Damodaran :- MED0000938610.

As indicated by your letter of 31/05/2012, I understand that you will forward to the Medical Board of Australia; so that they can respond, any questions of mine that remain unanswered. In response to your letter, I find myself left with the following "queries" relating to several discrete areas in need of redress.

(1) Why is it that the board, which consisted of registered and supposedly intelligent members of the medical profession, failed to understand, let alone, recognize, that the "obligations" of all registered members of the medical profession, include the "responsible" provision of "referrals", to any, and indeed, all individuals, that are "able" to successfully generate a "request" for one?

(When it comes to the provision of therapy, I realize that Dr. Damodaran is extremely challenged, and a referral is probably the only "positive" treatment he is still qualified to dispense.)

(2) Why is it that the board maintained that the disclosing of my personal information, to Dr. Reilly, by Dr. Damodaran, could be justified on "therapeutic" ground, when the two factors I have provided below both conclusively prove that it cannot?

a) Dr. Reily is legally forbidden from personally providing "therapy" to me unless I am admitted to his hospital first. Unfortunately, he was not constrained from referring me to another doctor outside of his sphere of influence, and this included Dr. Damodaran.

(In the tortured mind of Dr. Reilly, this referral was his best attempt at providing "positive" therapy, and was done in such a way that he made, no identifiable recommendations, and left the decision as to, whether or not, any treatment was indicated, completely up to Dr. Damodaran.)

b) Considering the fact that Dr. Reilly referred me to Dr. Damodaran (without my permission), how are “my therapeutic needs” served by the feeding back of my personal information to someone whose care I am not “under”, and in fact, did not have any legal obligation to go “under”?

(As I vigorously disagreed with Dr. Reilly, as indicated by my correspondence to him; I find this “disclosing” hard to see as “therapeutic”.)

(3) Will the board move past the pre-eliminatory phase of this investigation to date, and progress towards a disciplinary action phase in the light of the arguments I have provided in this document?

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D. A. Crofts". The letters are fluid and connected, with a prominent 'D' and 'A'.

David Crofts.

P.S.

It seems my disappointment is not about to end any time soon.

The board's course of action is quite clear; Dr. Damodaran must be made to appear, before a professional standards panel, and disciplined; so that an example can be made of him, in order to prevent any potential repeating of this un-professional behavior, which quite clearly brings disgrace upon the medical profession and needs to be regulated out of all existence.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday 25th May, 2012

Your Reference Number :- 00224662

Veronika Urh

The Manager
Department of Notifications

A.H.P.R.A.
G.P.O. Box 9958
MELBOURNE Victoria 3001

Dear Madam,

RE: Assessment of Notification about Dr. Saji Damodaran :- MED0000938610.

As indicated by your letter of 23/04/2012, I understood that the Medical Board of Australia was artificially limited to the time between 23/03/2012 and 22/05/2012 to conduct a, so called, "preliminary" assessment. After, the performance of simple computation, involving these time co-ordinates and the current date, I am left to deduce, you owe me, one report, detailing the progress of my notification, as far as the board was able to understand it.

It is your responsibility to serve as a conductor of my disappointment to the board.

The longer my quest for justice, drags out, the longer my disappointment will be dragged out.

I feel after the disappointment, I felt as a direct result of being forced, to comply with the logically flawed, in-correct treatment, that this failed excuse for a psychiatrist demonstrated, it is quite clear that I possess the greater ability to suffer the disappointment of the other.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

P.S.

The course of action is quite clear; Saji must be brought in, before a panel of his peers, who able to facilitate a just and responsible act of balancing justice.

David Crofts

From: David Crofts <david.crofts@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 19 June 2012 04:47 AM
To: 'vic-notifications@ahpra.gov.au'
Subject: The Notification of Dr. Saji Damodaran

AHPRA
Assessment Coordinator

Re: Notification number: LG:00224662

Dear Leanne Graham,

I wish to impress upon you the serious nature of the misconduct of Dr. Saji Damodaran and feel it clear that the level of service provided to me is of an unacceptable standard.

I believe that no factors exist that could possibly mitigate this behavior and therefore immediate action by AHPRA is indicated.

I am most concerned with his failure to conduct himself in a “responsible” way when asked to provide service as obliged by his status as a registered member of the medical profession, and direct you to focus on this while investigating whether my notification is grounds to refer him to a Professional Standards Panel.

The illegal disclosing of my personal information, though extremely serious, pales in comparison to his failure to provide any “response” at all when only asked to provide the simplest of services.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
Berwick Victoria 3806
Australia

David Crofts

From: David Crofts <david.crofts@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 17 April 2012 10:37 AM
To: VIC-NOTIFICATIONS
Subject: [Fwd: SUBJECT: Doctor Saji Damodaran (2) My "Notification of HIM" - 2012.04.17 @ 10:30 AM
Attachments: SUBJECT: Doctor Saji Damodaran (2) My "Notification of HIM" - 2012.04.15 (1.21 MB)

FW: SUBJECT: Doctor Saji Damodaran (2) My "Notification of HIM" - 2012.04.17 @ 10:30 AM

Dear Leanne,

Instead of facilitating the "entrance" to "reality" for his patients, Saji "forbids" "his" patients from passing through it !!!!

Regards,

David Crofts.

P.S.

This means he is a negative influence for curing the on-set of one's first psychosis.

P.P.S.

My Thanks Go To Bill Orchard,

He facilitated my return from the other-side of reality.

However, I still yearn to re-visit the entrance.

This universe needs to be considered just one of many to get one's end into this entrance however. I hope that Bill's universe is not sore with me !!!!

P.P.P.S.

This email demands that you respond with more than acknowledgment.



Office of the Health Services Commissioner COMPLAINT FORM

30/570 Bourke Street, Melbourne, Victoria 3000.
Complaints: 8601 5200 Toll Free: 1800 136 066 Fax: 8601 5219
Website: <http://www.health.vic.gov.au/hsc>

CONTACT OFFICER:

REF.

Office use only

ABOUT YOU THE COMPLAINANT

Name: (Dr / Mr / Mrs / Ms / Miss) MR. DAVID A. S. CROFTS		
Address: 23 BRISBANE STREET BERWICK VICTORIA		
		Postcode: 3806
Telephone number	Home: (03) 9707 4594	Business: ()
Date of Birth: 23/02/1961	Country of Birth: AUSTRALIA	
Do you identify as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander? NO		

Relationship to patient/service user: (eg. self, son, sister, parent etc) **SELF**

DO YOU OR THE PATIENT/SERVICE USER REQUIRE AN INTERPRETER? **NO**

If yes, preferred language:

ABOUT THE PATIENT/SERVICE USER

(ONLY complete if you are complaining on behalf of someone else)

Name: (Dr / Mr / Mrs / Ms / Miss)		
Address:		
		Postcode:
Telephone number	Home: ()	Business: ()
Date of Birth:	Country of Birth:	
Do you identify as an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander?		

THE PERSON/ORGANISATION YOU ARE COMPLAINING ABOUT

Name of Person/Organisation SAJI DAMODARAN		
Specialty if applicable (eg. Orthodontist, Cardiologist etc.) PSYCHIATRIST		
Address: DELMONT PRIVATE HOSPITAL CONSULTING SUITES		
314 WARRIGUL ROAD GLEN IRIS VICTORIA		Postcode: 3146
Telephone number	Business: (03) 9834 3600	Mobile: ()

If you received a health service were you a **PUBLIC** or **PRIVATE** patient?

circle choice

WHAT DATE(S) DID THE COMPLAINT ARISE? 22 AUG 2003
IF IT IS MORE THAN 12 MONTHS PLEASE LET US KNOW THE REASONS WHY YOU DID NOT COMPLAIN EARLIER. (eg. you may have been having ongoing treatment)
I DID COMPLAIN. I HAVE ONLY JUST RECENTLY RECOVERED FROM HIS TREATMENT.

HAVE YOU CONTACTED THE PERSON/ORGANISATION TO TRY AND DISCUSS YOUR COMPLAINT? NO
If yes, what happened?

ABOUT YOUR COMPLAINT

<p>COMPLAINT SUMMARY <i>Please attach a detailed letter, to this form, setting out in chronological order what happened and include, if relevant, photocopies of any enclosures which may be helpful in assessing your complaint. The letter will be sent to the person/organisation.</i></p>

WHAT DO YOU HOPE TO GAIN FROM LODGING THE COMPLAINT? WHAT OUTCOME ARE YOU SEEKING? Think about this carefully as we can advise you if there is a reasonable expectation of success and if not, possible other avenues of complaint open to you.
JUSTICE. THE DE-REGISTRATION OF A SUB STANDARD MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL.

HAS THIS COMPLAINT BEEN LODGED WITH ANOTHER COMPLAINTS BODY? (eg. Victorian Ombudsman, Office of Fair Trading etc) NO
If yes, please give details:

PLEASE NOTE: If a complaint relates to a registered provider your complaint is discussed with the relevant Registration Board to ascertain which organisation can best deal with your concerns.

For us to be able to assist you we require your complaint confirmed in writing as well as the authority form signed.

Privacy Statement

The Office of the Health Services Commissioner collects personal and health information relating to you (or the person on whose behalf you are complaining) in its role as a complaints handling body and to report on the functions and activities of the office.

The authority to collect and report this data is contained in the *Health Services (Conciliation and Review) Act 1987* and the *Health Records Act 2001* and is reported only in a de-identified form. Some de-identified case examples are used in the annual report and/or for training purposes. If you do not want your case examples used in this manner please contact the Registrar on 8601-5222.

The Health Services Commissioner's ability to assess and resolve your complaint may be hindered if you do not disclose all pertinent information.

The information this office holds about you can be accessed by application under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*.

AUTHORISATIONS

AUTHORITY TO COMPLAIN

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS SECTION IF SOMEONE OTHER THAN THE PATIENT/SERVICE USER IS LODGING THE COMPLAINT. *(It is HSC policy to deal directly with the service user whenever possible. Exceptions to this are when the user is a young child, where a guardian is appointed or at the discretion of the HSC.)*

I..... hereby appointas my
(print Service User's name) *(print name of Complainant)*

representative in lodging a complaint on my behalf. I have seen a copy of the privacy statement regarding the collection of my personal and health information.

Signature of Patient/Service User..... Date...../...../.....

AUTHORITY TO FORWARD COMPLAINT AND ACCESS TO RECORDS

To whom it may concern

I **MR. DAVID A. S. CROFTS** give permission for the Health Services Commissioner and Staff to forward to the person/organisation a copy of the complaint, contact them about my complaint and if necessary to access my health information/medical records.

Signature. 

Date **30/01/2012**

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Monday, 30th January 2012

Health Services Commissioner

30th Floor
570 Bourke Street
MELBOURNE Victoria 3000

Dear Sir/Madam,

I feel it important to take all factors into account when considering any failure by a registered medical practitioner to conduct themselves in accordance with their oaths as sworn members of the medical profession.

As I believe that Dr. Saji Damodaran's un-professional conduct is beyond dispute, all I ask of you is that you investigate this failure to meet the minimum standards dictated by the Medical Practitioners Board of Victoria and if you can't find any mitigating factors, report him to a Professional Standards Panel.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday, 22nd August 2003

Professor Saji Damodoran

Dandenong Area Mental Health Service
P.O. Box 956
DANDENONG Victoria 3175

Dear Saji,

I write to inform you that I have obtained a copy of my records since 1/1/2000 held at your Hospital under the Freedom of Information Act and was dismayed to find that you have violated my privacy by sending the enclosed document to Dr. John Reilly. As your Consultant Psychiatrist eloquently pointed out Dr. Reilly no longer treats me so he should have no access to any confidential information.

As I have not signed a release for Dr. Reilly to have access to my Records I consider this to be a serious breach of Patient confidentiality.

If you are prepared to apologize I will not pursue this matter further.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "D.A. Crofts". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

David Crofts.

Encl.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday, 29th August 2003

Health Services Commissioner

30th Floor
570 Bourke Street
MELBOURNE Victoria 3000

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to inform you that I have obtained a copy of my records since 1/1/2000 held at Dandenong Psychiatric Hospital under the Freedom of Information Act and was dismayed to find that it's Professor (Doctor Saji Damodoran) has violated my privacy by sending confidential information to Dr. John Reilly without my permission. As the Professor's Consultant Psychiatrist eloquently pointed out Dr. John Reilly no longer treats me so he should have no access to any confidential information.

As I have not signed a release for Dr. John Reilly to have access to my records I consider this to be a serious breach of patient confidentiality.

I do not consider this to be an innocent mistake but an example of the typical amount of respect mental health professionals give to their patient's rights. Please counsel and arrange for the appropriate punishment of Professor Saji Damodoran and any other mental health professional that has violated their patient's rights.

The only rights Mental Patients have that cannot be voided based on the opinion of a Health Professional are

- Access to the Mental Health Review Board with a Legal Aid representative after 8 weeks.
- Limited access to their medical records under the Freedom of Information Act.
- To expect reasonable confidentiality.
- To seek a contradictory medical opinion. (if they can afford it)

Note: This is usually a hopeless undertaking because of the inbred nature of the medical profession and anyway this opinion can be ignored by your Psychiatrist. He can insist that you receive his treatment so the contradictory opinion is really only useful to influence the Mental Health Review Board after you have been incarcerated for 8 weeks. As access to other medical professionals is severely limited the MHRB will give weight to the opinion of the medical professional that has interacted with you most.

I am currently a voluntary patient seeking better advice from a Psychiatrist of my choosing. I am currently in the process of severing all contact with the Psychiatrists at Dandenong Hospital.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

Encl.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Friday, 5th December 2003

Anna Boulton
Enquiry Officer
Health Services Commissioner

Level 30, 570 Bourke Street
MELBOURNE Victoria 3000

REFERENCE: 200309037 /HRA19: AB

Dear Madam,

Thank you for sending to me Ms Lee Fairley's response to my complaint under the *Health Records Act 2001* against Professor Saji Damodoran of Dandenong Hospital.

However, I find this response to be unsatisfactory.

Ms Fairley justifies the disclosure of my confidential information using the following section of the *Mental Health Act*.

HPP 2.2(h) permits a mental health service to use or disclose information to **lessen or prevent**:

- a serious and imminent **threat** to a person's life, health, safety or welfare; or
- a serious **threat** to public health, safety or welfare.

This justification is clearly bogus for the following reason.

The recipient of my confidential information, Dr. John Reilly, is no longer legally authorized to treat me (as I have been discharged from the services of Frankston Hospital), so the disclosing of my confidential information to him can in no way effect **the level of threat** that I pose until Dr. Reilly is legally authorized to treat me again. (i.e. I am re-admitted to Frankston Hospital). Thankfully this has not happened.

It is clearly absurd to believe that the disclosure of the name of my previous consultant psychiatrist and his management plan is going to influence **the level of threat** that I pose when it is disclosed to someone who is not legally authorized to treat me.

The psychiatrists at Dandenong Hospital are still legally authorized to treat me as I have yet to be discharged from their service. Any disclosure of my confidential information under *Section HPP 2.2(h)* of the *Mental Health Act* should only be to persons who are also legally authorized to treat me. This only includes the Police and the Crisis Assessment Team.

Please Note, this section of the *Mental Health Act* can never be used to divulge my confidential information to someone not legally authorized to treat me because that can never **"lessen or prevent"** any **"threat"** that I may pose.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Saturday, 24th January 2004

Professor Saji Damodoran

Dandenong Area Mental Health Service
P.O. Box 956
DANDENONG Victoria 3175

Dear Saji,

I seem to have you nailed in law but as I can't be bothered pursuing this I will let you be.

A letter of thanks would be appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D.A. Crofts".

David Crofts.

Encl.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Wednesday, 3rd August 2011

Associate Professor Saji Damodaran

Delmont Private Hospital Consulting Suites
314 Warrigal Road
GLEN IRIS Victoria 3146

Dear Saji,

You may not remember me but you owe me and big time. You were such a tight arse that you would not apologize for the failure to first ask me to sign a release before disclosing my personal information, and then when you were made to open up for conciliation, you failed to send me a letter of thanks when I declined the offer.

The information in question most directly relates to Dr. John Reilly of Peninsula Health. He sent you a letter in which he begged the release from a problem of his own making. In this letter he lied his concerns and put the blame on me. You then illegally responded with another pack of lies. For your information I was doing my level best to be threatening and unless he wanted to risk the consequences of not providing me with compensation, he should finally take my needs into account for a change, instead of just his and the medical profession in general. You also lied to him about planning to engage me in a “trial” of Clozapine. That would have been the straw that broke the camel’s back, and would have indeed been a trial, a trial by toxic medication.

As you are in my debt, I thought you could be of assistance to a friend of mine who you treated in the distant past and might also not remember. Her name is Helen Goodenough and she requires the expert services of a therapist to treat her schizo-affective bipolar disorder aggravated by the chronic daily use of marijuana.

If you are unable to find it in your heart to offer her affordable treatment at a convenient location, please at least ask your professional colleagues for the name of someone who is.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Thursday, 29th September 2011

Associate Professor Saji Damodaran

Delmont Private Hospital Consulting Suites
314 Warrigal Road
GLEN IRIS Victoria 3146

Dear Saji,

It is clear that you feel my needs are not your concern, but as a member of the medical profession, you have a requirement to conduct yourself professionally and provide therapy to all those who seek it. So far, you have failed to do so, and it is clear that your conduct has been grossly unprofessional. The fact that you preferred to gratify Dr. Reilly at my expense only proves this point.

As I feel nothing but disgust for you, you can not help me directly, but as indicated by my previous correspondence, there exists some unresolved issues connected to your previous treatment of Helen Goodenough. If you have even a trace of common decency you should feel at least some regret for the unfair discrimination demonstrated towards me and try to put it right by offering to help my friend Helen.

I will not become paranoid about the possible motives behind your previous treatment of Helen but you can repeat it now with a clean conscience.

Helen requires the expert services of a therapist to treat her schizo-affective bipolar disorder aggravated by the chronic daily use of marijuana.

If you are unable to find it in your heart to offer her affordable treatment at a convenient location, please at least ask your professional colleagues for the name of someone who is.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Tuesday, 15th November 2011

Associate Professor Saji Damodaran

Delmont Private Hospital Consulting Suites
314 Warrigal Road
GLEN IRIS Victoria 3146

Dear Saji,

IF (you could inspire my friend Helen) WITH

An appealing offer of support ;

THEN

I would be grateful .

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D.A. Crofts".

David Crofts.

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Saturday, 19th November 2011

Associate Professor Saji Damodaran

Delmont Private Hospital Consulting Suites
314 Warrigal Road
GLEN IRIS Victoria 3146

Dear Saji,

WHEN (you could inspire my friend Helen) WITH

An appealing offer of support ;

THEN

I would be grateful .

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "D.A. Crofts".

David Crofts.

- Latest Activity
- Reviews**
- Saved Businesses
- Friends
- Settings

Frequent questions



Change picture

Berwick, VIC
 Joined 20 October 2009
 4 reviews
 2 first reviews
 3 saved businesses

About you

Tell people a little about you

Edit your profile

Reviews

Like Be the first of your friends to like this.

- Reviews**
- Comments
- Your Friends' Reviews

4 Reviews Sort by: Most recent

4 Reviews Sort by: Most recent

You reviewed A/ Prof Saji Damodaran FRANZCP 5 hours ago
 Glen Iris VIC

Rating: 0.0 out of 5

Pending ?

“ Saji refuses to recognise your right to make your own treatment arrangements. Instead, he insists that you come to him already under the influence of another referring body. This refusal seriously interferes with your capacity to accurately receive treatment, especially when the exchange of information is concerned.

You reviewed A/ Prof Saji Damodaran FRANZCP 5 months ago
 Glen Iris VIC

Rating: 0.0 out of 5

Removed ?

“ I have had a bad experience at the hands of Dr. Damodaran. He seems to only be in practice to service his own need for personal gratification. The requirement to be tolerant of the distress of the mentally ill, which is the hallmark of a good psychiatrist, is not shown, instead he punishes his patients for any distressing outburst. The therapy he offers is completely devoid of compassion and is in no way supportive of the greater needs of the mentally ill. He is a serious offender when it comes to building justice into the mental health system. He prefers to propagate his own private professional network at the expense of his patients.

You reviewed Orchard Dr W H 9 months ago
 Melbourne VIC

Rating: 5.0 out of 5

1st REVIEW

“ With Orchard, Dr W H life's challenges are a pleasure. He offers therapy that nurtures even the smallest spark of understanding until life's most difficult problems seem easy. As you grow you will be able to identify your problems and soon even the act of problem solving will be fun.

Share | Comment Helpful? 0

You reviewed Dr Bill Orchard 2 years ago
 Melbourne VIC

Rating: 5.0 out of 5

1st REVIEW

“ With Dr Bill Orchard life's challenges are a pleasure. He offers therapy that nurtures even the smallest spark of understanding until life's most difficult problems seem easy. As you grow you will be able identify your problems and soon even the act of problem solving will be fun.

Share | Comment Helpful? 0

Badges

Start collecting badges now



The more reviews you write on TrueLocal the more badges you'll earn. Wear yours with pride.

Find out more



Find out more about badges

Invite your friends from Facebook



Share what you've found on TrueLocal with your Facebook friends! With Facebook Connect, it's easy to post your reviews, great offers and places to your Facebook feed.



- Latest Activity
- Reviews**
- Saved Businesses
- Friends
- Settings

Frequent questions



[Change picture](#)

Berwick, VIC
 Joined 20 October 2009
 4 reviews
 3 first reviews
 3 saved businesses

About you
 Tell people a little about you
[Edit your profile](#)

Reviews

Like Be the first of your friends to like this.

- Reviews**
- Comments
- Your Friends' Reviews

4 Reviews Sort by: **Most recent**

You reviewed A/ Prof Saji Damodaran FRANZCP 11 hours ago
 Glen Iris VIC

Rating: 1.0 out of 5

" They refuse to make your own treatment arrangements. Instead, they insist that you come to them. This refusal seriously interferes with your capacity to accurately receive treatment, especially when the exchange of information is concerned.

Share | Comment Helpful? 0

You reviewed A/ Prof Saji Damodaran FRANZCP 5 months ago
 Glen Iris VIC

Rating: 1.0 out of 5

Removed ?

" I have had a bad experience at the hands of Dr. Damodaran. He seems to only be in practice to service his own need for personal gratification. The requirement to be tolerant of the distress of the mentally ill, which is the hallmark of a good psychiatrist, is not shown, instead he punishes his patients for any distressing outburst. The therapy he offers is completely devoid of compassion and is in no way supportive of the greater needs of the mentally ill. He is a serious offender when it comes to building justice into the mental health system. He prefers to propagate his own private professional network at the expense of his patients.

You reviewed Orchard Dr W H 9 months ago
 Melbourne VIC

Rating: 5.0 out of 5

" With Orchard, Dr W H life's challenges are a pleasure. He offers therapy that nurtures even the smallest spark of understanding until life's most difficult problems seem easy. As you grow you will be able to identify your problems and soon even the act of problem solving will be fun.

Share | Comment Helpful? 0

You reviewed Dr Bill Orchard 2 years ago
 Melbourne VIC

Rating: 5.0 out of 5

" With Dr Bill Orchard life's challenges are a pleasure. He offers therapy that nurtures even the smallest spark of understanding until life's most difficult problems seem easy. As you grow you will be able identify your problems and soon even the act of problem solving will be fun.

Share | Comment Helpful? 0


Badges

Start collecting badges now




The more reviews you write on TrueLocal the more badges you'll earn. Wear yours with pride.

[Find out more](#)



[Find out more about badges](#)

Invite your friends from Facebook



Share what you've found on TrueLocal with your Facebook friends! With Facebook Connect, it's easy to post your reviews, great offers and places to your Facebook feed.





22 February 2012

Our ref: 200309037

Mr David Crofts
23 Brisbane St
BERWICK VIC 3806

Dear Mr Crofts

Re: Your complaint about Southern Health (Associate Professor Saji Damodaran) under the *Health Records Act 2003*

Thank you for your letter of complaint dated 30 January 2012 and the attached documents.

You lodged a complaint in regard to this same issue with our office on 17 September 2003. Your complaint was sent to Monash Medical Centre, Southern Health where Associate Professor Damodaran was employed. A response was received and forwarded to you on 2 December 2003.

You remained dissatisfied with the response received and your complaint was referred for Conciliation on 29 December 2003.

On 3 March 2004 you spoke with the Conciliator and confirmed that you no longer wished to proceed with your complaint in Conciliation. A further reply to your complaint was received by our office from Professor Damodaran dated 24 March 2004. Your decision not to proceed in Conciliation was confirmed in writing to you on 29 March 2004, accompanied by the further reply from Associate Professor Damodaran. You were advised the file would be closed.

There have been no further communications in relation to this complaint since 29 March 2004. Your complaint lodged on 30 January 2012 is about the same matter as your earlier complaint, namely that Dr Damodaran allegedly disclosed your health information when writing to Peninsula Health on 2 July 2003. You have not provided any new information in regard to your complaint. Therefore under Section 51 of the *Health Records Act 2001*, I am unable to reopen your complaint.

If you prefer, I am able to formally decline your complaint under section 51, and you will then have the right to seek referral to VCAT for a determination. Please contact Ms Angela Palombo, Legal Officer, on 8601 5209 if you wish to take this course. Ms Palombo is available on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday.

Yours sincerely

Beth Wilson
Health Services Commissioner

David A.S.Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Monday, 27th February 2012

Beth Wilson
Health Services Commissioner

30th Floor
570 Bourke Street
MELBOURNE Victoria 3000

Your Reference :- 200309037

Dear Madam,

As it is clear that your sole function is to formally decline all complaints, I am now formally asking you to gratify me in another way.

As we disagree about events as indicated by your recent correspondence, if you could, once again, provide me with a copy of the original, so called, "further reply" that you referred to, I would appreciate it.

The fact that after I declined to take him to conciliation, he still sent this document, and what's more, to you, and not me, should tell you something.

Yours sincerely,



David Crofts.

DANDENONG AREA MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE

Sd/sm

24 March 2004

Ms Beth Wilson
Health Service Commission
Level 30
570 Bourke Street
Melbourne Vic 3000

Dear Ms Wilson,

**Re: Complaint to HSC
200309037 / HRA 15 : AB**

Thank you for forwarding the complaint made by Mr David Crofts regarding the allegation of breaching his privacy.

Mr Croft was previously a patient of Dandenong Area Mental Health Service and had an admission in Peninsula Health for a short period of time.

On 13th June 2003, Dr John Riley, the Director and Authorised Psychiatrist of Peninsula Health wrote to Assoc. Prof. Damodaran about Mr Croft's intermittent correspondence to him and raised concerns that the "correspondence is becoming increasingly bizarre with unusual objects, false Peninsula Health Letterheads" and he noted that "it is clearly consistent with a relapse of his chronic schizophrenia and suggests that he may again pose a potential risk both to himself and to other people".

Upon receipt of this letter Assoc. Professor Damodaran considered the matter as a serious one which has implications on the patient's well being and the safety of others. He requested a review of Mr Croft's psychiatric status in view of the recent correspondence from Dr Riley.

Upon review, the consultant psychiatrist informed Assoc. Professor Damodaran that Mr Croft was being managed by the Casey / Cardinia team, and was closely followed up by them.

As concerns were raised by Dr Riley which were communicated to us with copies of letters which he felt as "clear signs of relapse of schizophrenia", it was felt that it was important to inform him about our review and also to reassure him about the risk of harm to self and others.

Communication of such information to Dr Riley who was his previous psychiatrist who also had ongoing contact with him (Mr Croft) by unsolicited correspondence (from Mr Croft) was considered important as a feedback to a concerned mental health clinician and also within the scope of the Mental Health Act - section 120A.

The communication and was considered to be an important part of a feedback to a psychiatrist who raised serious concerns about the mental status of a patient who had communicated with him.

Assoc. Prof. Damodaran regrets any distress which may have been caused to Mr Croft and wishes to reassure him that the communication was to ensure that the best possible care was provided to Mr Croft at all times.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'PP Saji Damodaran', written over a horizontal line.

A/ Professor Saji Damodaran
Clinical Director
Mental Health
Southern Health

David A.S. Crofts

23 Brisbane Street
BERWICK Victoria 3806

Telephone: 9707 4594

Friday, 27th June 2003

The Consultant Psychiatrist

Dandenong Hospital
P.O. Box 956
DANDENONG Victoria 3175

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to inform you that I choose not to attend the appointment you have made for me at Dandenong Hospital on Monday, 30th June 2003 at 1:30 PM and will instead attempt to defuse the situation with this document.

I understand that your concerns are based on a private letter I sent to Dr. John Reilly on Friday, 30th May 2003. As this is one month ago I hope you can appreciate the situation is not urgent. I currently receive an injection of Fluanxol fortnightly from Laurie Dusing and see no need to alter my treatment in any way. He detected no change in me when he saw me last (one week ago) and as he knows me quite well I think you should respect his judgment. From my point of view, my state of mind is the same as it always been since I accepted treatment with Fluanxol.

Anyway, I will attempt to address your concerns.

As the covering letter to Dr. Reilly only makes oblique references to matters addressed in the main document you can dismiss it and concentrate only on "David Crofts' Personal Reality". Since I first detected this reality in 1984, I have had over 10 Hospital admissions and my belief in it has never been changed. I have also been tried on multiple medications and their effect has in no way changed my beliefs. Hence, there seems to be no way you altering my treatment will change my views on reality.

Please Note :- My personal reality should only become a concern of yours when it means that I am a danger to myself or other people. Quite clearly, if I choose to deport myself in accordance with my personal reality as I have described it, it means I'm a danger to no one.

When I wrote this document in 1997, I thought it was forbidden to have a personal reality. If you have a personal reality please grant me mine.

Yours sincerely,

David Crofts.

P.S. If you are not prepared to accept Laurie Dusing's documented opinion that I was well one week ago, please feel free to visit me at my home. Just be sure to telephone for an appointment first.

Hello Dorrie,

I suspect that the in-pregnating bitter reference in the attached documents will only make sense to you with the below elaboration.

Saje Damodaran dragged me into Dandenong Hospital in 2003 to turn my victory over Dr. Reilly of Frankston Hospital into a defeat from which I am yet to recover. I will not be satisfied by anything medical which does not involve Saje before a Standards Panel...

..... Saje is Indian, I'm the dirt dog && the English colonial invader is going to @#\$\$% him big time for /& in his Indian colonially expanded, psychiatrically tight ARSE!

I am feeling relatively happy with life and have a good plan for the rest of my life.

The attached documents should keep you & I on the same page. Regards from David.